



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/007,242	11/10/2001	Kenneth Russell Mills	PTU000002	3674
75	90 03/28/2003			
JOSEPH S. TRIPOLI THOMSON MULTIMEDIA LICENSING INC. 2 INDEPENDENCE WAY P. O. BOX 5312 PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5312			EXAMINER	
			LEE, JOHN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ridite Eron, i	13 00545-5512	•	2682	1 (
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		\sim				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
· · ·	10/007,242	MILLS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JOHN J LEE	2682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	e6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 N	lovember 2001 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under background						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	∂(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language products 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	• •					
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1 6, 8, 9, 11-13, and 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bell et al. (US Patent number 6,405,027) in view of Van Ryzin (US Patent number 6,127,941).

Regarding claim 1, Bell discloses that a communication system, comprising:
a cordless telephone system (Fig. 1A) including at least one cordless base station
(12 in Fig. 1A) and at least one cordless telephone unit (Fig. 1A) for communicating with
the at least one cordless base station (L1 in Fig. 1a and column 3, lines 12 – 38); and

a remote wireless device (D2 in Fig. 1A) configured for communicating with the cordless telephone system (D0 to D2 in Fig. 1A) (column 2, lines 50 – column 3, lines 46), the remote wireless device including a controller (30a in Fig. 2, Bell teaches remote wireless device D0 same as D2..Dn in column 3, lines 12 – 38) which generates or obtains the user information and a transmitter (column 3, lines 12 – 38) which transmits the information to the cordless telephone system (Fig. 1A) to be conveyed at the user interface of the cordless telephone unit (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 50 – column 3, lines 46).

Art Unit: 2682

Bell does not specifically disclose the limitation "a communication system comprises the at least one cordless telephone unit having a user interface for conveying information to an end-user". However, Van Ryzin discloses the limitation "a communication system comprises the at least one cordless telephone unit having a user interface for conveying information to an end-user" (Fig. 1A, 2 and column 4, lines 24 – column 5, lines 57). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to modify the Bell structure as taught by Van Ryzin. Doing so would enhance the remote wireless device for signal adaptability in mobile communication system.

Regarding claim 2, Bell discloses that the remote wireless device comprises a remote wireless intercom (Fig. 1, 2 and column 3, lines 12 - 38).

Regarding claim 3, Bell and Van Ryzin disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 2. Furthermore, Bell further discloses that a speaker (Fig. 1, 2);

a microphone (Fig. 1, 2);

a receiver (Fig. 1, 2 and column 3, lines 12 - 38);

a housing which carries the speaker, the microphone, the receiver, and the transmitter (Fig. 1, 2 and column 3, lines 12 - 38); and

wherein the information comprises voice signals, and the speaker, the microphone, the receiver, and the transmitter are used for engaging in an intercom voice communication session with the cordless telephone system (Fig. 1, 2 and column 2, lines 50 – column 3, lines 46).

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claim 4, Bell and Van Ryzin disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 3. Furthermore, Bell further discloses that the a battery interface used for supplying power to the speaker, the microphone, the receiver, and the transmitter (Bell teaches the remote wireless device could be cellular phone (column 2, lines 50 - 63) and inherently mobile phone has a battery interface used for supplying power to the speaker, the microphone, the receiver, and the transmitter. Without battery in the cellular phone, the phone does not work);

a housing which carries the speaker, the microphone, the receiver, the transmitter, and the battery interface (Fig. 2 and column 3, lines 12 – column 4, lines 49); and

wherein the information comprises voice signals, and the speaker, the microphone, the receiver, and the transmitter are used for engaging in an intercom voice communication session with the cordless telephone system (Fig. 1, 2 and column 3, lines 12 – column 4, lines 49).

Regarding **claim 5**, Bell and Van Ryzin disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 3. However, Bell does not specifically disclose the limitation "a remote device includes a communication interface for coupling to and receiving the information from a computer". However, Van Ryzin discloses the limitation "a remote device includes a communication interface for coupling to and receiving the information from a computer" (Fig. 2 and column 6, lines 4 – 54). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to modify the Bell structure as taught by Van Ryzin. Doing so would enhance the communicating connection for receiving multimedia signal in mobile communication system.

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding **claim 6**, Bell and Van Ryzin disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 5. However, Bell does not specifically disclose the limitation "the computer having an application program residing in memory which is executable to generate the information which is sent to the remote wireless device for transmission to the cordless telephone system". However, Van Ryzin discloses the limitation "the computer having an application program residing in memory which is executable to generate the information which is sent to the remote wireless device for transmission to the cordless telephone system" (Fig. 2 and column 6, lines 4-54). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to modify the Bell structure as taught by Van Ryzin. Doing so would enhance information adaptability from the interface device for providing multimedia signal in mobile communication system.

Regarding **claim 8**, Bell and Van Ryzin disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 4. Furthermore, Bell further discloses that a detector which detects an intercom voice communication request (Fig. 3 and column 4, lines 50 – column 5, lines 45); and

a wireless transceiver which transmits the intercom voice communication request to a cordless telephone device and engages in an intercom voice communication session with the cordless telephone device after transmitting the intercom voice communication request (Fig. 2, 3 and column 4, lines 25 – column 5, lines 45).

Regarding **claim 9**, Bell does not specifically disclose the limitation "the detector comprises an end-user switch". However, Van Ryzin discloses the limitation "the detector comprises an end-user switch" (Fig. 1, 2 and column 5, lines 3 - 57). It would

Art Unit: 2682

have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to modify the Bell structure as taught by Van Ryzin. Doing so would enhance the controlling device in wireless communication device.

Regarding claim 11, Bell and Van Ryzin disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 4 and 8.

Regarding claim 12, Bell and Van Ryzin disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 8.

Regarding **claim 13**, Bell and Van Ryzin disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 8 and 9.

Regarding **claim 15**, Bell and Van Ryzin disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 12. Furthermore, Bell further discloses that engaging in the intercom voice communication session further comprises sending and receiving radio frequency (RF) carrier signals modulated with voice signals (column 4, lines 4 – 60 and Fig. 1, 2).

Regarding **claim 16**, Bell and Van Ryzin disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 12.

Regarding **claim 17**, Bell and Van Ryzin disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 12. Furthermore, Bell further discloses that the act of engaging in the intercom voice communication session further comprises engaging in communication with the cordless telephone unit indirectly through the cordless base station (Fig. 1 and column 2, lines 64 – column 4, lines 24).

Regarding claim 18, Bell and Van Ryzin disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 6. However, Bell does not specifically disclose the limitation "the at least

Art Unit: 2682

one cordless telephone unit has a user interface including a visual display and a speaker for conveying information". However, Van Ryzin discloses the limitation "the at least one cordless telephone unit has a user interface including a visual display and a speaker for conveying information" (abstract, Fig. 1, 2, and column 2, lines 28 - 56). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to modify the Bell structure as taught by Van Ryzin. Doing so would enhance multimedia signal adaptability from the interface device in mobile communication system.

Regarding **claim 19**, Bell and Van Ryzin disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 18.

Regarding claims 20, 21, and 22, Bell and Van Ryzin disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 6. However, Bell does not specifically disclose the limitation "the application program comprises a scheduling notification program and an electronic mail program, and audio delivery program". However, Van Ryzin discloses the limitation "the application program comprises a scheduling notification program and an electronic mail program, and audio delivery program" (Fig. 2, abstract, and column 6, lines 4 - 54). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to modify the Bell structure as taught by Van Ryzin. Doing so would enhance mobile multimedia services for a user in wireless communication system.

Art Unit: 2682

3. Claims 7, 10, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bell in view of Van Ryzin and in further view of Salazar et al. (US Patent number 5,802,467).

Regarding **claim 7**, Bell and Van Ryzin do not specifically disclose the limitation "the remote wireless device is coupled to and receives the information from a sensor comprising one of a temperature sensor, a weather sensor, or a motion detector sensor". However, Salazar discloses the limitation "the remote wireless device is coupled to and receives the information from a sensor comprising one of a temperature sensor, a weather sensor, or a motion detector sensor" (Fig. 3, 4, abstract, and column 22, lines 33 – column 23, lines 59). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to modify the Bell and Van Ryzin structures as taught by Salazar. The motivation does so would be to improve signal reception in wireless device in mobile communication system.

Regarding claims 10 and 14, Bell and Van Ryzin do not specifically disclose the limitation "the detector, the act of detecting the intercom voice communication request further comprises detecting a motion sensor signal at the remote wireless intercom". However, Salazar discloses the limitation "the detector, the act of detecting the intercom voice communication request further comprises detecting a motion sensor signal at the remote wireless intercom" (Fig. 3, 4, abstract, and column 22, lines 33 – column 23, lines 59). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to modify the Bell and Van Ryzin structures as taught by Salazar.

Art Unit: 2682

The motivation does so would be to improve signal reception in wireless device in mobile communication system.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tillgren et al. (US Patent number 6,339,706) discloses Wireless-Activated Remote Control Device.

Pope (US Patent number 5,963,624) discloses Digital Cordless Telephone with Remote Control Feature.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-6606 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **John J. Lee** whose telephone number is **(703)** 306-5936. He can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays from 8:30am-5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Vivian**

Art Unit: 2682

Page 10

Chin, can be reached on (703) 308-6739. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

J.L

March 14, 2003

John J Lee

NGUYEN T. VO PRIMARY EXAMINER